



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,894	10/28/2003	Teruo Ashikawa	Q78042	4038	
23373 7:	590 07/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			CHEN, T	CHEN, TIANJIE	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2652	2652	
			DATE MAILED, 07/14/200	DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/693,894	ASHIKAWA, TERUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tianjie Chen	2652			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, .			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20031028.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2652

Non-Final rejection

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lun (JP 7-18086) in view of Endo et al (EP 0 420 564 A2).

Claims 1 and 2, Lun shows a tape cassette including: upper half case 30 and lower half cases 31 of which a cassette case is composed; a reel 35 with a magnetic tape 34 wound thereon being rotatably stored in the cassette case; a pressure mechanism 55 for pressing elastically the central upper portion of the reel, the pressure mechanism being mounted on the upper half case 30; a center pin 39 being disposed on the reel central upper portion; and flat plate portion 72 contacted by the center pin, the flat plate portion 72 being disposed on the pressure mechanism; wherein the center pin is molded mainly of polyacetal-system synthetic resin (Column 6, lines 29-30), the flat plate portion is molded mainly of polybutylene-system or polyethylene-system synthetic resin (Column 6, lines 31-37).

Lun fails to show that inorganic powder is added to at least one of these two kinds of synthetic resin.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2652

Lun shows a polyacetal resin, for anti-friction purpose inorganic powder with 0.5-30 percent is added (p.4, lines 28-34).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to add 0.5-30 percent of inorganic powder in polyacetal resin for anti-friction purpose.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lun in view of Endo et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kawatsu et al (US 6,025,286).

Endo shows that the inorganic powder may be one from the group of calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate, talc, silica kaolin etc., but not limited to these mentioned (P.4, lines 28-31); but does not explicitly include titanium oxide in the list.

Kawatsu et al shows a list as the powder for improving friction property, the list includes clay mica, titanium oxide, calcium carbonate, kaolin, talc or wet or dry silica.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to include titanium oxide as the powder for improving friction property.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record in PTO-892 Form and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,894

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).